Small Pond Identification in the Highland Lakes Watershed Using Satellite Imagery

> LCRA Board of Directors Meeting June 18, 2024



Why do we need to study ponds in the watershed?

- To understand the impact to the inflows of system
- To help determine if there is any impact to the firm yield of the system

History of Small Pond Identification Studies

- 2011 Texas Water Development Board
 - Studied the impact of exempt reservoirs on two reservoirs
 - Lake Coleman and Cedar Creek Reservoir

2017 and 2019 – Texas Water Development Board

• Used the National Hydrography Dataset, U.S. Geological Survey topo maps, ArcGIS aerial images and Google Earth images in the San Saba watershed

• 2023 – LCRA

- Staff used GIS techniques to estimate the number of ponds that appear to have been constructed in eight separate watersheds
- Added the small ponds into LCRA models to determine the potential effects to the firm yield

• 2024 – LCRA

• Used remote sensing to identify ponds within the watersheds

Staffing

Dr. Jennifer Jensen, Texas State University Garrett Pugh, Texas State University Grayson Wylie, Texas State University Ron Anderson, LCRA Chief Engineer Hank Zook, LCRA Cartographer



GEOGRAPHY AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES



Regulation of Small Ponds

Exempt from state water rights permitting if:

- Located entirely on private property (on a non-navigable stream or off channel)
- Store no more than an average annual amount of 200 acre-feet of water
- The pond is used solely for:
 - Domestic and livestock purposes
 - Certain types of wildlife management

Regulation of Small Ponds (Continued)

Exempt from state water rights permitting if:

- No commercial operations
 - No industrial operations, aquaculture, fish farming, housing developments
 - May allow hunting, trapping for a fee, certain other incidental uses
- No limit on the number of ponds a landowner can have
- Exempt ponds are not included in the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality's Water Availability Models

Examples of Small Ponds

Ponds near Brown Creek in the Pecan Bayou watershed



Examples of Small Ponds (Continued)

Hamilton Creek, Burnet



Area of LCRA Study

Watersheds



Watershed Area (square miles)

Pecan Bayou		2,205
San Saba River		2,296
Brady Creek		804
Main Stem/Other		2,545
Lake Buchanan Watershed Total	7,850	
Llano River		4,466
Pedernales River		1,281
Main Stem/Sandy Creek/Other		1,083
Lake Travis Watershed Total	6,830	
Total Watershed Area	14,680	

Overall Project Objectives

Ponds in the Highland Lakes watershed for 2015, 2019 and 2023

Assess

Perform quality control review of methods and found ponds



Inventory

Inventory ponds within subwatersheds and summarize water body distributions by size per year

Compare

Compare results of classified inventory with the United States Geological Survey database





Quantify and summarize

Compute inventory change statistics

Results







Highland Lakes Subwatersheds Pond Count



Subwatershed

Highland Lakes Subwatersheds Pond Density



Subwatershed

Highland Lakes Watershed Pond Area and Counts (December 2015-March 2023)



Pond Counts*

Subwatershed	December 2015	February 2019	May 2023
Colorado Main 1	8,387	8,480	9,198
Colorado Main 2	2,322	2,766	2,837
Colorado Main 3	1,418	2,084	2,766
Llano	4,481	5,005	5,752
Pecan Bayou	13,402	12,761	13,575
Pedernales	4,181	4,022	4,927
San Saba	3,265	3,800	3,943
Sandy Creek	860	839	948
Total	38,316	39,757	43,946
Increase		1,441	4,189
% Increase		3.8%	11%

- 5,630 new ponds were added from 2015 to 2023
- Pond construction increased in the 2019-2023 four-year period compared with the 2015-2019 four-year period
- Pecan Bayou has the greatest
 number of ponds
- Sandy Creek has the fewest ponds

*For all ponds greater than 1,076 feet² (100 m²)

San Saba Pond Inventory



Sandy Creek Pond Inventory



Annualized Percent Increase in Number of Ponds*

Subwatershed	Percent of Watershed	December 2015- February 2019	February 2019- May 2023	December 2015- May 2023
Colorado Main 1	11%	0.35%	2.01%	1.28%
Colorado Main 2	6%	5.68%	0.62%	2.80%
Colorado Main 3	5%	12.93%	7.18%	9.65%
Llano	30%	3.55%	3.47%	3.50%
Pecan Bayou	15%	-1.54%	1.53%	0.18%
Pedernales	9%	-1.22%	5.10%	2.29%
San Saba	21%	4.91%	0.91%	2.64%
Sandy Creek	3%	-0.78%	3.04%	1.35%

*For all ponds greater than 1,076 feet² (100 m²)

Study Summary

- Ponds are increasing in number and density, varies by watershed
- The approach:
 - Provides a high level of accuracy and confidence in the results
 - Identified discrepancies with USGS database
 - Can be used to track changes over time, including future years

Firm Yield Impacts

• Assumptions made:

- Assumed a maximum water depth of 10 feet for each pond
- Each upstream pond fully fills and spills to the next pond
- All the water in the ponds would eventually make it to the river as inflows
- Each pond is storing state water (not groundwater)
- Results from the analysis indicate that the pond's water surface area and the watershed area translate to the reduction of inflows during the critical period
- Modeled impacts to firm yield

